**FILED** 

## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**JUL 26 2006** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

V.

NOEL RODRIGUES-SEDANO, aka Noel Rodriguez-Sedano aka Armando Ortiz-Ayon,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 05-30139

D.C. No. CR-04-00016-FVS

**MEMORANDUM**\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Washington Fred L. Van Sickle, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 24, 2006\*\*

Before: ALARCÓN, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Noel Rodrigues-Sedano appeals from his guilty-plea conviction and 121-month sentence for conspiracy to distribute 500 grams or more of methamphetamine and cocaine, in violation of 21 U.S.C. § 846.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), counsel for Rodrigues-Sedano has filed a brief stating there are no grounds for relief, and a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. Rodrigues-Sedano has not filed a pro se supplemental brief.

Our independent review of the record, pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 83 (1988), discloses no grounds for relief.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**, and the district court's judgment is **AFFIRMED**.